

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0500-0614

Case Title:

Village of Crestwood, IL

Reporting Office:

Chicago, IL, Area Office

Subject of Report:

Interview of (b) (7)(C) on January 21, 2011

Activity Date:

January 21, 2011

Reporting Official and Date:

(b) (7)(C), SA

10-FEB-2011, Signed by: (b) (7)(C) SA

Approving Official and Date:

(b) (7)(C) SAC

16-FEB-2011, Approved by: (b) (7)(C) ASAC

SYNOPSIS

On January 21, 2011, (b) (7)(C) was interviewed. (b) (7)(C) provided information relating to the Village of Crestwood, where (b) (7)(C) was formerly employed as the Village Services Director.

DETAILS

On January 21, 2011 (b) (7)(C) (b) (7)(C) (b) (7)(C), Oak Forest, IL, IL (b) (7)(C), was interviewed by this reporting agent (b) (7)(C), Special Agent (b) (7)(C) and Special (b) (7)(C), of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation. This interview was conducted at (b) (7)(C) home.

Upon meeting (b) (7)(C) i, agents displayed EPA-CID credentials to (b) (7)(C). Agents advised (b) (7)(C) that they wished to speak with (b) (7)(C) regarding (b) (7)(C) previous employment for the Village of Crestwood, IL. (b) (7)(C) agreed to speak with agents.

In summary and not verbatim unless otherwise noted, (b) (7)(C) provided the following information:

(b) (7)(C) worked for the Village of Crestwood for approximately three days, in mid-November 2005. (b) (7)(C) believed that (b) (7)(C) began work approximately two weeks before Thanksgiving. (b) (7)(C) was hired to become the Village Services Director, a position which had previously been held by (b) (7)(C).

At the time (b) (7)(C) was hired, the mayor in Crestwood was (b) (7)(C). It was (b) (7)(C) understanding that (b) (7)(C) would be reporting directly to (b) (7)(C). Although (b) (7)(C) was replacing (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(C) had stayed on as a consultant with the village, and was essentially training (b) (7)(C). When (b) (7)(C) was being interviewed for the position, (b) (7)(C) was lead to believe that (b) (7)(C) would be working around 40 to 48 hours each week. After (b) (7)(C) first day at work, (b) (7)(C) advised (b) (7)(C) that (b) (7)(C) would need to work 60 or more hours each week, and monitor a police radio when (b) (7)(C) was home at night.

(b) (7)(C) immediately noticed that there was not paperwork for anything relating to (b) (7)(C) job. There were no computers at the village. (b) (7)(C) was shown the water pump house along Cal Sag Road, but never saw any paperwork relating to drinking water, or the village's well. (b) (7)(C) assumed that the water was coming into the village from Lake Michigan. (b) (7)(C) recalls having a confrontation with (b) (7)(C) about (b) (7)(C) ability to run the office as (b) (7)(C) wanted to.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0500-0614

(b) (7)(C) stated that (b) (7)(C) was the Village Clerk, and another (b) (7)(C) named (b) (7)(C) also worked in village hall.

(b) (7)(C) ultimately quit after only a few days because of the longer hours, the feeling of not having control of (b) (7)(C) job, as well as other red flags in (b) (7)(C) mind, such as no records for anything relating to (b) (7)(C) job. (b) (7)(C) stated that after (b) (7)(C) quit, two or three other (b) (7)(C) also held the position for short periods of time, but did not know their names.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.
It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.